



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/663,891	09/18/2000	Robert Chojnacki	N0064US	4137	
37583	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER		
NAVIGATION TECHNOLOGIES 222 MERCHANDISE MART SUITE 900, PATENT DEPT.			MOISE, EMMANUEL LIONEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, II			2136		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



3								
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	N			
Office Action Summary		09/663,8	91	CHOJNACKI, ROBERT	r O			
		Examine		Art Unit				
			el L. Moise	2136				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	on appears on the	ecover sheet with t	the correspondence addres	'S			
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply sepension. - If NO period for reply is sepension. - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR I E OF THIS COMMUNICAT e available under the provisions of 37 om the mailing date of this communicat cified above is less than thirty (30) day pecified above, the maximum statutory set or extended period for reply will, b Office later than three months after the timent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. s, a reply within the state period will apply and with state the apply statute, cause the apply sarks.	ent, however, may a reply lutory minimum of thirty (3l ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this commun DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status		•						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	09 October 200	12 .					
2a) This action is								
3) Since this app	•	_		, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims				·				
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	ithdrawn from co						
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specificati	on is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) The drawing (s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.				
	not request that any objection			* *				
	rawing sheet(s) including the				• •			
11) I he oath or de	claration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119							
a) All b) S 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies applicat	ent is made of a claim for foome * c) \(\sum \) None of: d copies of the priority docu d copies of the priority docu of the certified copies of the ion from the International E d detailed Office action for	uments have bee uments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Appl ents have been rec e 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stag	j e			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References C			4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/9 2, 4, and 5	48) SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 09/663,891

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-39 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,768,942. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present application and '942 patent relate to systems and methods for distributing geographic data to end users for use their navigation systems. They both disclose that the geographic data may be divided into a first portion and a second portion. The first portion may comprise critical information, such as decompression parameters, indexes and other global parameters, that enables access to the second portion, so as to allow the navigation system to provide navigation services for a user. The only difference is that in the present application it is claimed that the first portion and the second portion of data products are maintained at separate locations. Such a difference, however, would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 09/663,891

Art Unit: 2136

the time the invention was made since it is well known in the art that storing portions of an information at different locations makes the information and for that matter the system more secure.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reference 6,131,066 (Ahrens et al.) discloses a system and method for distributing information for storage media.

Reference 6,151,624 (Teare et al.) discloses navigation network resources based on metadata.

Reference 6,199,045 (Giniger et al.) discloses a method and apparatus for providing position-related information to mobile recipients.

Reference 6,289,276 ((Ahrens et al.) discloses a system and method for distributing information for storage media.

Reference 6,314,409 (Schneck et al.) discloses a system for controlling access and distribution of digital property.

Reference 6,408,307 (Semple et al.) discloses systems and methods for remotely accessing a selected group of items of interest from a database.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel L. Moise whose telephone number is (703)305-9763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W (9:30-6:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/663,891

Art Unit: 2136

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (703)305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emmanuel L. Moise Primary Examiner Art Unit 2136